XXX YOUR NAME
XXX YOUR ADDRESS

XXX DATE

XXX MP NAME
XXX MP ADDRESS

Dear XXX MP NAME

**Re: 30 November Westminster Hall debate on deafness and hearing loss and the cap on Access to Work awards**

I am writing to ask you to take part in the Westminster Hall debate on deafness and hearing loss on 30 November. I hope you will raise the issue of the cap on Access to Work awards, which is having a negative impact on my ability to work.

[CHANGE THIS PARAGRAPH TO DESCRIBE YOU, AND WHY YOU ARE CONCERNED ABOUT THIS] I am a British Sign Language / English Interpreter, and regularly work with people who Access to Work helps participate fully and equally at work by paying the costs of their communication support.

In 2015 the Department for Work and Pensions imposed a cap on Access to Work awards. The cap is one and a half times the national average salary, currently £42,100 per year.

I am worried the cap will act as a glass ceiling on deaf colleagues’ and friends’ career aspirations. Put simply, having the cap means that they won’t be able to apply for promotions or look to develop their career, because the ATW support won’t be sufficient. The cap means that at most they would be able to book interpreters for three days a week, leaving them with two days when they couldn’t communicate with people. This would mean they couldn’t do their work effectively.

It is also confusing, as I thought the point of Access to Work was to pay for adjustments that are unlikely to reasonable. Instead, Access to Work makes lots of smaller awards, leaving people like them and their employers to struggle.

I have attached research done by DeafATW with people whose awards have already been capped, or who will be capped in April 2018. The research shows the cap is having a negative impact on the careers and aspirations of Deaf people. Especially affected are Deaf people who are in or aspire to professional, managerial or leadership roles, or who are self-employed or run their own business.

Access to Work revolutionised the career opportunities of deaf people, shattering the glass ceiling that had previously limited them to manual jobs. It has been largely due to Access to Work that they have progressed as far as our talent allows. There are now deaf CEOs, deaf intermediaries working at the Ministry of Justice, deaf theatre directors and deaf social workers.

I am concerned this new policy will undo that good work. I understand the government wants to help as many disabled people as possible, but I don’t think that was supposed to be the point of Access to Work. It was meant to help disabled people and employers with higher support costs.

I do hope you will be able to join the debate, which was arranged by the APPG on Deafness, chaired by Jim Fitzpatrick MP. I also urge you to join the Group, which is working on a range of issues: access to broadcasting, telecommunications, education, employment, and health and care services.

If you are able to attend, I will appreciate an opportunity to talk to you about it afterwards.

If you are not able to attend, please can you write to Sarah Newton MP, the Minister of State for Disabled People, Health and Work. Please ask to remove or raise the cap, and once again lift the ceiling on deaf people’s aspirations.

I look forward to your reply.

Kind regards